



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 30x 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,833	12/28/2000	Judith C. Espejo	BS00-131	5208
28970	7590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
SHAW PITTMAN			LEE, JOHN J	
IP GROUP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 1300 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	10
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/749,833	ESPEJO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The BUALLING DATE of this communication com	JOHN J LEE	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	arch 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		a				
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 2684

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 7, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Easley et al. (US Patent number 6,496,691) in view of Hernandez et al. (US Patent number 6,542,601).

Regarding **claim 1**, Easley discloses that a wireless communication system providing interactive voice response for calling card services (column 14, lines 66 – column 15, lines 45 and Fig. 15). Easley teaches that a mobile switching system (12, 14 in Fig. 1), adapted to communicate with at least one wireless device (MS in Fig. 2) (abstract), capable of establishing a control channel and a voice channel with the wireless device (MS in Fig. 2) (Fig. 1, 3 and column 4, lines 63 – column 5, lines 30 where teaches mobile communication system, switching system, communicates with voice, data and other information (control data) via mobile station). Easley teaches that a Service Control Point (SCP) (22 in Fig. 1) in communication with the mobile switching system (12, 14 in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1 teaches SCP communicates with MSC by T1 and see column 4, lines 1 - 23), the Intelligent peripheral including an Interactive Voice Response (IVR)

Art Unit: 2684

application for calling card services (column 9, lines 60 – column 10, lines 20 and Fig. 7), and capable of retrieving customer information (column 9, lines 42 – column 10, lines 20 and Fig. 7). Easley teaches that an Intelligent Peripheral (20 in Fig. 1) in communication with the SCP (22 in Fig. 1) (T2 in Fig. 1) and the mobile switching system (12, 14 in Fig. 1), the Intelligent Peripheral including IVR messages (column 15, lines 25 – column 16, lines 6) and adapted to send those messages through a voice channel (speech signal see column 15, lines 25 - 45) to the mobile switching system (column 15, lines 49 – column 16, lines 67 and Fig. 15). Easley also teaches that wherein the mobile switching system communicates with the SCP (T1 in Fig. 1) and wherein the SCP communicates with the Intelligent Peripheral (T2 in Fig. 1) (Fig. 1 teaches MSC communicates with IP and SCP also SCP communicates with IP and MSC see column 4, lines 1 - 23).

Easley does not specifically disclose the limitation "the SCP including an Interactive Voice Response (IVR) application for prepaid customers". However, Hernandez discloses the limitation "the SCP (218 in Fig. 1B) including an Interactive Voice Response (IVR) (12 in Fig. 1B) application for prepaid customers" (Fig. 1B and column 6, lines 6 – column 7, lines 3, where teaches SCP includes IVR prepaid customers). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Easley system as taught by Hernandez. The motivation does so would be to achieve an efficient management for prepaid customers in wireless communication system.

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding **claim 2**, Easley discloses that the system is adapted to route a calling party to the IVR when the system receives a predetermined dialed number (column 14, lines 66 – column 15, lines 45 and Fig. 15).

Regarding claim 3, Easley discloses that the predetermined dialed number is an abbreviated number (column 12, lines 21 - 60 and Fig. 15).

Regarding **claim 4**, Easley discloses that the predetermined dialed number is an abbreviated number shorter than seven digits (column 12, lines 21 - 60 and Fig. 15).

Regarding **claim 5**, Easley discloses that the predetermined dialed number is a three digit code (column 12, lines 21 - 60 and Fig. 15).

Regarding claim 6, Easley discloses that the predetermined dialed number is x11, where x is an integer (column 12, lines 21 - 60 and Fig. 15 where teaches predetermined dialed number is for example "\*69" regarding the "x11" as the principle work is same).

Regarding **claim 7**, Easley discloses that the SCP communicates with the mobile switching system using Intelligent Network Transactional Capabilities Application Part (IN TCAP) messaging (column 9, lines 60 – column 10, lines 20).

Regarding **claim 9**, Easley discloses that the SCP communicates with the intelligent Peripheral using Intelligent Network Transactional Capabilities Application Part messaging (column 9, lines 60 – column 10, lines 20).

Regarding claim 13, Easley discloses that the SCP communicates with an intelligent Peripheral, and wherein the Intelligent Peripheral plays voice messages through a voice path to the mobile switching system (column 15, lines 25 – column 16, lines 67 and Fig. 15).

Art Unit: 2684

4. Claims 8 and 10 - 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Easley in view of Hernandez and in further view of Batni et al. (US Patent number 6,490,450).

Regarding claim 8, 10, and 11, Easley does not specifically discloses the limitation "SCP communicates with the intelligent Peripheral and mobile switching system using TCP/IP". However, Batni discloses the limitation "SCP (113 in Fig. 1) communicates (link 416 in Fig. 5) with the intelligent Peripheral (IVR (401) or ILR (109) IN Fig. 5 or (could be anything)) and mobile switching system (114 or 105 in Fig. 5) using TCP/IP" (Fig. 4, 5, column 8, lines 66 – column 9, lines 5, and column 4, lines 61 – 67, where teaches messages passed between ILP and SCP (or IVR and SCP) over connection using the TCP/IP and also using same connection with the MSC). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Easley system as taught by Batni. The motivation does so would be to achieve a communication data link using TCP/IP link for efficient network connection in mobile communication system.

Regarding **claim 12**, Easley discloses that the SCP communicates with an intelligent Peripheral using Intelligent Network Transactional Capabilities Application Part messaging (column 9, lines 60 – column 10, lines 20).

Art Unit: 2684

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Stevens (US Patent number 6,404,880) discloses Delivering Critical Information.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Art Unit: 2684

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John J. Lee** whose telephone number is (703) 306-5936. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30am-5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Nay Aung Maung**, can be reached on (703) 308-7745. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J.L April 22, 2004

John J Lee

NICK CORSARO PATENT EXAMINER

Midlens